

Case Number: 7-15-4-23-9-14-19

Authors/Creators:

Daniel Clayton Wood, Sui Juris
Deborah Ann Boehm, Sui Juris
Brian Edward Steiner, Sui Juris
Joseph Micheal Grimm, Sui Juris

Address: 17253 North Rosemont Street Maricopa, Arizona 85138
Email: dcwsparky@protonmail.com
Phone Number: (520) 759-6783

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA,

MARICOPA, ARIZONA

**Daniel Clayton Wood, Sui Juris
Joseph Michael Grimm, Sui Juris
Deborah Ann Boehm, Sui Juris
Brian Edward Steiner, Sui Juris;
Saints of Almighty God, Plaintiffs,**

vs.

**STATE OF ARIZONA PRESIDENT
OF THE SENATE, WARREN
PETERSEN ET. AL., STATE OF
ARIZONA SPEAKER OF THE
HOUSE, BEN TOMA ET. AL.,
Defendant**

Case No.: CV 2023-093987

**Writ
of
Default Judgement**

Plaintiff(s) Supporting Affidavit

**MATTER OF NECESSITY FOR
THE FURTHERANCE OF JUSTICE**

[RULE 26]

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE TO AGENT IS
NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

Plaintiff(s) Writ of Default Judgement

Now comes the Plaintiff(s) Daniel Clayton Wood, Joseph Michael Grimm, Deborah Ann Boehm and Brian Edward Steiner herein Sui Juris, and hereby moves this Court of Record for common law entry of default by Defendant(s).

Plaintiff(s) states that Defendant(s) have not filed a response or submitted any pleading to the Plaintiff(s) Original, Writ in the time required by the Defendant(s) to respond by the judicial court rule. Herein this Original, Writ is the Plaintiff(s) supporting affidavit by way of common law and the fundamental principles in this Court of Record.

Supporting Statement by Affidavit

Defendant(s) were lawfully served through each Defendant(s) counsel at the time of service and the record shows it to be signed, dated, accepted and having been served in hand to each Defendant(s) counsel, as the record shows. There has been no substitute for counsel filed with this Court of Record for either Defendant named in this matter, or any proper service given of any motion by Defendant(s) “alleged” counsel. The “alleged” new counsel said to be “Mr. Napolitano” as he addressed himself to the Plaintiff(s) through unofficial communications via email and in phone conversations. Defendant(s) “allegedly” have appointed new counsel and “Mr. Napolitano” claimed and stated he is representing each and all the Defendants in this matter in all capacities. “Mr. Napolitano” did so without notice or having submitted a motion to this court of record for substitution of counsel that is required by the rules in place applicable to the Defendant(s) and any Attorney of record for the Defendant(s). Defendant(s), from the beginning, have retained representative counsel and were bound by the time set to respond to the Plaintiff(s). Plaintiff(s) believe this is an unethical tactic, and an act not being carried out in “Good Faith” on the part of the Defendant(s) and its counsel or “alleged” counsel. The Original, Writ admitted into this Court of Record from its inception is a “Emergency matter” and a matter of “Necessity” as stated by the People. The

upmost attention and importance is to be directed to the Plaintiff(s) Original, Writ filed and having the seal of Maricopa County Clerk of the Court, as shown by the Clerk's constitutional Abraham Lincoln vignette seal. Furthermore, the letter of the law states it is to be handled and executed as such "Emergency".

This Court of Record shall find that Defendant(s) are in default to the time required by the judicial court rules to respond to Plaintiff(s) Original, Writ. Defendant(s) in this matter have not answered any previously served notices, affidavits, petitions and remonstrances which were served and accepted in form of the Constitutional questions presented herein. Furthermore, this Court of Record must take into consideration judicial notice of the past and present history of the Defendant(s) past actions, which is the Defendant(s) failure to respond to the People, without good cause. It is mandatory by Arizona constitution and by Defendant(s) oath that they are required as trustees and servants to be amenable to the People at all times. Defendant(s) have shown this same pattern of non-response since January 2021. To date no Defendant(s) has responded to any notices, affidavits, petitions or remonstrances by the people and by the authority seen and written in the Arizona Constitution Article 2 Sections 1 and 2. Defendant(s) have not been responsive to, or have responded to, any grievances of the People. Plaintiffs informed every member of the Legislature, Executive and Judicial branches, dating back to January 2021, by delivering and discussing their redress of grievances to their trustees and servants by way of notices, affidavits, petitions and remonstrances. The Defendant(s) are bound by having agreed to the "silence and acquiescence" law found within the notices, affidavits, petitions and remonstrances. Furthermore, through the "silence and acquiescence" law, Defendant(s) having already agreed previously to the thousands of notices, affidavits, petitions and the "Remonstrance by Affidavit" mentioned herein.


Defendant(s) and its counsel have failed to give any reasonable counter claim for this court to grant any extension of time for filing a response to Plaintiff(s) claims in the Original, Writ, here before this Court of Record. Defendant(s) have not responded to correct or engage in any action since January of 2021 and again have failed to respond within the 20 days provided by their rules and procedures which Defendant(s) are bound to in this matter. Given the history and past actions of the Defendant(s), it is of necessity and essential for this Court of Record to rule in good faith in favor of the Plaintiffs Writ of Default Judgement.

Plaintiff(s) do accept your oath and bind you to it. Here now and after you are bound by all decisions, which are to be in good faith upon your conscience and constitutional sense of justice.

Dated: the 9th day of September, in the year of our Lord, 2023.

This my solemn asseveration with God the Father as our witness.

By a living soul in the form of a man, one of the people created by God, the trinity of heart-mind-soul with my court of conscience, this instrument was prepared as my freewill act and deed, Executed below under my hand and seal.



Daniel Clayton Wood (seal)
Autograph

Beverly Jayne Wood (seal)
Witness: Autograph

Notary as Jurat Certificate

Jurat

State Arizona

County Pinal

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me Joy Ann Holsinger

On this 09 day of September 2023 before me,

A Notary Public personally appeared Beverly Jayne Wood
Daniel Clayton Wood, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man whose name is subscribed to the within instruments and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity, and that by his autograph(s) on the instrument the man executed the instrument.

I certify under Penalty of Perjury under the lawful laws of Arizona and that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. Witness my hand and official seal.

Joy Ann Holsinger
Signature of Notary ~~Jurat Seal~~

